IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 13448 of 2000

Between:

Smt. N.Chandrakala W/o Sri Parvath Reddy,
R/o.17-1-391/T/213, Saraswathinagar,
Saidabad, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Divisional Engineer (Operation), A.P. Transco,
Asmangadh, Hyderabad.
2 The Assistant Engineer (Elec), A.P. Transco,
Saidabad, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in disconnecting the electricity connection to the premises bearing H.No.17/1/391/T/213, Saraswathinagar, Saidabad, Hyderabad, without any notice, as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and against to the principles of natural justice, and consequently to direct the respondents to restore the electricity connection forthwith.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. T.ASHOK REDDY

Counsel for Respondents: SMT. M.VINOBHA DEVI

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

-

This writ petition is filed seeking to declare the action of the respondents in disconnecting the electricity connection to the premises of the petitioner bearing No.17-1-391/T/213, Saraswathinagar, Saidabad, Hyderabad, without any notice, as illegal.

It is the case of the petitioner, on due application made by her, service connection was given by collecting Rs.11,000/-. But, however, power supply was disconnected to her premises without any notice. This Court by order dated 20-09-2000 in W.P.M.P. No.17151 of 2000, granted interim order for restoration of power supply to the premises of the petitioner, subject to the condition of the petitioner clearing all the arrears, if any, payable towards consumption charges. Though the writ petition is of the year 2000, no counter affidavit is filed on behalf of respondents. The interim order passed by this Court on 20-09-2000 shall continued all along.

Having regard to the allegation of the petitioner that before disconnection of power supply to her premises, no notice was issued to her, I dispose of the writ petition directing the respondents to continue the power supply to the premises of the petitioner, which was restored pursuant to the orders of this Court dated 20-09-2000. However, it is made clear that if there are any arrears on account of consumption charges or if the use of power supply by the petitioner is contrary to terms of the contract, it is open for the respondents to take appropriate steps by issuing notice to her.

Subject to the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

R.SUBHASH REDDY, J

21st June, 2005.

Ak

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Divisional Engineer (Operation), A.P. Transco, Asmangadh,

Hyderabad.

2. The Assistant Engineer (Elec), A.P. Transco, Saidabad, Hyderabad.

3. Two CD copies.